

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 PAUL EDDIE BLAND II,
11 Plaintiff,

12 v.

13 CAROLYN KUHL, et al.,

14 Defendants.
15

Case No. CV 17-5442 DSF(JC)

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION
WITHOUT PREJUDICE

16 On July 24, 2017, plaintiff, who was then in custody and is proceeding *pro*
17 *se*, filed a Complaint and an Application to Proceed without Prepayment of Fees
18 (“IFP Application”). On August 3, 2017, the Court issued an order (“August
19 Order”) denying the IFP Application for the reasons explained therein, directing
20 plaintiff to pay the filing fees in full within 30 days, and advising plaintiff that if he
21 did not pay the filing fees within the foregoing time frame, the case would be
22 dismissed. The August Order was sent to plaintiff at his address of record but was
23 returned undelivered on August 11, 2017 with an indication that he had been
24 discharged. Although more than fifteen days have passed since the August Order
25 sent to plaintiff was returned undelivered, plaintiff has not notified the Court of his
26 current address, contrary to Local Rule 41-6.

27 ///

28 ///

1 As plaintiff is not entitled to proceed without prepayment of fees/in forma
2 pauperis, has not paid the filing fee by the aforementioned deadline, and has failed
3 to keep the Court informed of his current address in violation of Local Rule 41-6,
4 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is DISMISSED.

5 9/20/17

6 DATED: _____

7 
8 _____

9 HONORABLE DALE S. FISCHER
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28